Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45045 BASF Corporation RN100225689 Docket No. 2012-1934-IHW-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

IHW

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

BASF Pasadena, 4403 LaPorte Highway 225, Pasadena, Harris County

Type of Operation:

Chemical manufacturing facility

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: March 22, 2013

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$47,700

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$9,540 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$38,160

Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A **SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45045 BASF Corporation RN100225689 Docket No. 2012-1934-IHW-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: June 8, 2012 Date(s) of NOE(s): August 29, 2012

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to prevent the disposal of Class 1 waste at an unauthorized facility. Specifically, two 25-yard roll-off containers containing Class 1 Polychlorinated Biphenyls ("PCB")-contaminated waste above 50 parts per million were disposed of at an unauthorized facility on February 29 and March 2, 2012 [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 335.2(b)].
- 2. Failed to correctly manifest Class 1 waste utilizing a completed Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest. Specifically, two 25-yard roll-off containers containing Class 1 PCB-contaminated waste were manifested as Class 2 wastes utilizing preprinted Waste Management Non-Hazardous Waste Manifests on February 29 and March 2, 2012 [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 335.10].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures:

- a. Removed the Class 1 PCB-contaminated waste from the unauthorized facility on March 8, 2012 and disposed of it at an authorized facility; and
- b. Developed standard operating procedures which are to be followed by employees in the disposal of waste, including but not limited to, ensuring proper manifests accompany all shipments of waste on March 5, 2012.

Technical Requirements:

N/A

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A

Hearing Date(s): N/A **Settlement Date:** N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45045 BASF Corporation RN100225689 Docket No. 2012-1934-IHW-E

Contact Information

TCEO Attorney: N/A

TCEO Enforcement Coordinator: Danielle Porras, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 7, MC R-12, (713) 767-3682; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Robert J. Osterhold, Site Director, BASF Corporation, 4403 LaPorte

Road, Pasadena, Texas 77501

Lisa Harlow, Senior Environmental Health and Safety Specialist, BASF Corporation,

4403 LaPorte Road, Pasadena, Texas 77501

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Assigned 4-Sep-2012 Screening 11-Sep-2012 PCW 22-Jan-2013 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent BASF Corporation Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN100225689 Facility/Site Region 12-Houston Major/Minor Source Major CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 45045 No. of Violations 2 Docket No. 2012-1934-IHW-E Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Industrial and Hazardous Waste Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Danielle Porras EC's Team Enforcement Team 7 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$30,000 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 84.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$25,200 Enhancement for two NOVs with dissimilar violations and four orders Notes with denial of liability. 0.0% Enhancement Culpability No Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Subtotal 5 \$7,500 Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments \$0 Subtotal 6 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$47,700 \$0 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount \$47,700

\$47,700

-\$9,540

\$38,160

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

20.0%

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Docket No. 2012-1934-IHW-E

Screening Date 11-Sep-2012

Respondent BASF Corporation

Case ID No. 45045

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100225689

Media [Statute] Industrial and Hazardous Waste

Enf. Coordinator Danielle Porras

Component	ory Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	2	4%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	4	80%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
J Gailei	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
epeat violator (Subtotal 3)		1.010(1800)180
· No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
ompliance Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
ompliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for two NOVs with dissimilar violations and four orders with denia	ıl of liability.	l
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2	3. & <i>7</i>)

Scree	ening Date	11-Sep-2012	Docket	No. 2012-1934-IHW-E		PCW
R	espondent	BASF Corporation			Policy Revisi	on 3 (September 2011)
■ 1 Cold 1 Cold (10 Cold (ase ID No.				PCW F	Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Ref						
		Industrial and Hazard	ous Waste			
\$2000.000000000000000000000000000000000	oorginator tion Number	Danielle Porras				
Viola		1			1	
	Rule Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admin. Code	§ 335.2(b)		

Violation	n Description	Specifically, two 2! Biphenyls ("PCB")-co	5-yard roll-off containers ntaminated waste above	vaste at an unauthorized fa containing Class 1 Polychlo 50 parts per million were d ary 29 and March 2, 2012.	rinated	
***************************************				Bas	e Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmen	ital, Proper	ty and Human H				
	Release		i rm erate Minor			
OR	Actual		erace Pinnor			
	Potential	х		Percent 30.0%		
	'					
>>Programmal			AA*			
ı	Falsification	Major Mod	erate Minor	Percent 0.0%		
		<u> </u>		Percent 0.0%		
		-				
Matrix Notes				pollutants which would exc eptors as a result of the vio		
				Adjustment	\$17,500	
					Γ	\$7,500
Violation Event	5					
	Number of \	/iolation Events	2	2 Number of violation	days	
	mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	×	Violation Base	e Penalty[\$15,000
_						
		Two si	ingle events are recomme	ended.		***************************************
						,
Good Faith Effo	rts to Com		25.0% Reduction	mont Offer		\$3,750
		Extraordinary	e NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settler	ment Offer		***************************************
			x			
		N/A	(mark with x)	B		
		The	Respondent came into c	complaince on March 8, forcement ("NOE") dated		
**************************************		Notes 2012,	August 29,	` ′ 1		
				Violation	Subtotal	\$11,250
Economic Benel	fit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	Test	
	Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$1	Violation Final Pena	ilty Total	\$23,850
		Th	is violation Final Asses	sed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits)	\$23,850

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No.	BASF Corpora				## ### ###############################		n 1 mg ng ng mga 1 m
	Industrial and) I Hazardous Waste	:			Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1	Lineagene (Constantino de la Constantino de Constantino de Constantino de Constantino de Constantino de Consta	34. 7. 2. 2. 38. 38. 30. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.				Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$					特制机	
							E28884851155125321115
Delayed Costs Equipment		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Equipment Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	\$1,132	29-Feb-2012	8-Mar-2012	0.02	\$1	n/a	\$1
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	The D	ate Required is th	e first date of d	isposal	and the Final Date	ty authorized to acc e is the date of com for one-time avoid	oliance.
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,132			TOTAL		\$1

🖭 a ta Natara a tangga Pagalan ang a natara na nangga bangga 🖶	Date 11-Sep-2012	Docket No. 2012-1934-IHW-E	PCW
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ndent BASF Corporation ID No. 45045		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Reg. Ent. Reference			PCW Revision August 3, 2011
	atute] Industrial and Hazardous V	Waste	
🚦 read teachtraceacharacharacharacharacharacharachara	inator Danielle Porras		
Violation N			
Kuie	Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 335.10	
Violation Desc	Waste Manifest. Specifical contaminated waste were	est Class 1 waste utilizing a completed Uniform H Ily, two 25-yard roll-off containers containing Cla e manifested as Class 2 wastes utilizing preprinte dous Waste Manifests on February 29 and March	ass 1 PCB- ed Waste
		Base	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, I	Property and Human Healt	h Matrix	
	Harm Release Major Moderate	e Minor	
OR	Actual		
P	Potential x	Percent 30.0%	
>>Programmatic Ma	.e.i.v		
	ication Major Moderate	e Minor	
		Percent 0.0%	
Matrix Huma	n health or the environment will or	could be exposed to pollutants which would exce	eed levels
Notes th	at are protective of human health o	or environmental receptors as a result of the viol	ation.
		Adjustment	\$17,500
			\$7,500
Violation Events		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Nun	nber of Violation Events 2	2 Number of violation	days
			•
	daily		
	weekly monthly	_	
	only one quarterly	Violation Base	e Penalty \$15,000
With	semiannual		- <u></u>
	annual	릭	
	single event x	_	
	Two single events are recomm	nended (one for each unauthorized shipment).	
<u> </u>			
Good Faith Efforts to	Comply 25.0°	% Reduction	\$3,750
	Before NOV		
	Extraordinary		
	Ordinary x		
	N/A[(mark with x)	
	Notecii '	ndent came into compliance on March 5, 2012, ior to the NOE dated August 29, 2012.	
		Violation	Subtotal \$11,250
Economic Benefit (El	B) for this violation	Statutory Limit	Test
	stimated EB Amount	\$1 Violation Final Pena	
	This v	iolation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits) \$23,850

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent BASF Corporation **Case ID No.** 45045 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100225689 Years of Media Industrial and Hazardous Waste **Percent Interest** Depreciation Violation No. 2 15 5.0 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB Amount** Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Equipment \$0 \$0 \$0 **Buildings** \$0 0.00 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) \$0 Engineering/construction 0.00 \$0 \$0 0.00 n/a \$0 Record Keeping System 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Training/Sampling \$1,000 29-Feb-2012 0.01 n/a \$1 \$1 Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 \$0 n/a \$0 **Permit Costs** 0.00 \$0 n/a 29-Feb-2012 5-Mar-2012 0.01 \$0 n/a Other (as needed) Estimated cost to ensure proper manifests accompany all shipments of hazardous wastes (\$500) and estimated cost to develop and implement standard operating procedures to prevent disposal of Industrial Notes for DELAYED costs Hazardous Waste at unauthorized facilities (\$1,000). The Date Required is the first date of disposal and the Final Date is the date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00 \$0 Disposal \$0 Personnel 0.00 \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.00 \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00 Other (as needed) **Notes for AVOIDED costs** TOTAL \$1 \$1,500 Approx. Cost of Compliance

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PENDING Compliance History Report for CN600124895, RN100225689, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, or Owner/Operator:

CN600124895, BASF Corporation

Classification: SATISFACTORY

NO

Rating: 6.92

Regulated Entity:

RN100225689, BASF PASADENA

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 21.63

Complexity Points:

11

Repeat Violator:

CH Group:

05 - Chemical Manufacturing

Location:

4403 LAPORTE HWY 225 PASADENA, TX 77501-, HARRIS COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 12 - HOUSTON

ID Number(s):

AIR OPERATING PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER HG1249P

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 8084A

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER HG1249P

AIR OPERATING PERMITS PERMIT 1331 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 8199A

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AFS NUM 4820100422

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 83808

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM/SUPPLY REGISTRATION 1011974

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE EPA ID

TXD980808778

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE PERMIT 50385

IHW CORRECTIVE ACTION SOLID WASTE REGISTRATION #

(SWR) 33849

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE SOLID WASTE

REGISTRATION # (SWR) 33849

WATER LICENSING LICENSE 1011974

AIR EMISSIONS INVENTORY ACCOUNT NUMBER HG1249P

POLLUTION PREVENTION PLANNING ID NUMBER P06431

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012

Rating Year: 2012

Rating Date: 09/01/2012

Date Compliance History Report Prepared:

September 24, 2012

Enforcement Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Component Period Selected:

September 24, 2007 to September 24, 2012

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Danielle Porras

Phone

(713) 767-3682

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

1

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 09/21/2009 ADMINORDER 2009-0525-AIR-E (1660 Order)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 101, SubChapter A 101.20(1)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 117, SubChapter B 117.310(c)(1)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT III 60.612(a)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov:S.C. 1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to comply with the permitted emissions rates at Scrubber Outlet Stack No. 2 (EPN 112) for NOx, CO, and VOC. Specifically, during a stack test conducted on December 13, 2007, NOx emissions were 0.09 lb/hr, whereas the permitted limit was 0.01 lb/hr. CO emissions were 79.93 lb/hr and 700.19 ppm at 3% oxygen, whereas the permitted limits are 22.8 lb/hr and 400 ppm, respectively. VOC emissions were 31.61 lb/hr and 174.7 ppm, whereas the permitted limits were 9.04 lb/hr and 20 ppm, respecti

2 Effective Date: 02/22/2010 ADMINORDER 2009-1386-AIR-E (1660 Order)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.722(d)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(c)(3)(ii)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 11A PERMIT

Special Term and Condition 14 OP

Description: Failure to maintain the minimum net heating value on the flare. (CATEGORY B18 VIOLATION)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 10 PERMIT

Special Term and Condition 14 OP

Description: Failure to stay below the maximum NOx levels on boiler F8. (CATEGORY B18 VIOLATION)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter H 115.764

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(c)(3)(ii)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: Special Condition 12 PERMIT

Special Term and Condition 14 OP

Description: Failure to take readings from the HRVOC analyzer at cooling tower CTWR-1. (CATEGORY B18

VIOLATION)

3 Effective Date: 05/08/2011

ADMINORDER 2010-1726-AIR-E (1660 Order)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Rqmt Prov: TCEQ Permit No. 8199A, SC #1 PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent unauthorized emissions. Specifically, 1,517 pounds of butene, a highly reactive volatile organic compound, were released when the Respondent's contractors hit a pipeline for the 2-Propylheptanol Unit, resulting in an emissions event (Incident No. 140005) which began on May 20, 2010, and lasted for five hours and 47 minutes. Since the emissions event was avoidable by better operational practices, the demonstrations in 30 TEX.

ADMIN. CODE § 101.222 necessary to present an affir

4 Effective Date: 03/16/2012 ADMINORDER 2011-1144-IHW-E (1660 Order)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter H 335.221(a)(15)

30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter H 335.221(a)(6)

40 CFR Chapter 266, SubChapter I, PT 266, SubPT H 266.102(e)(2)(ii)(A)

40 CFR Chapter 266, SubChapter I, PT 266, SubPT H 266.102(e)(7)(iii)

40 CFR Chapter 266, SubChapter I, PT 266, SubPT H 266.104(b)(1)

Rqmt Prov: Provision V.I.3.b. PERMIT

Provision V.I.3.d PERMIT

Description: Failed to properly operate and maintain the AWFCO system to automatically cutoff the hazardous waste feed when operating conditions deviate from permitted limitations. Specifically, a review of the daily operational log for the F-8 Boiler Unit indicated that hazardous waste (2-proply heptanol) was fed into the boiler from 11:52 a.m. until 12:31 p.m. on February 5, 2011, during which time the boiler exceeded the maximum emissions limitation of 100 parts

per million by volume ("ppmv") for carbon m

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 335, SubChapter H 335.221(a)(6)

40 CFR Chapter 266, SubChapter I, PT 266, SubPT H 266.102(e)(8)(i)(B)

Rgmt Prov: Provision V.I.7.c. PERMIT

Description: Failed to perform daily calibration of the CEMS when feeding hazardous waste to the boiler units, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 335.221(a)(6), 40 CFR § 266.102(e)(8)(i)(B) and IHW Permit No. 50385, Provision No. V.I.7.c., as documented during an investigation conducted on May 3, 2011. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct daily calibrations for the following days: November 3, 2010; November 15 through November 26, 2010; January 15 and 16, 2011; January 23 and 24, 2011, and

Criminal convictions:

Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1	March 03, 2008	(611378)
Item 2	June 05, 2008	(654468)
Item 3	June 15, 2008	(434901)
Item 4	August 29, 2008	(688534)
Item 5	February 06, 2009	(671608)
Item 6	March 16, 2009	(682025)
Item 7	July 31, 2009	(761134)
Item 8	August 13, 2009	(749540)
Item 9	November 23, 2009	(778109)
Item 10	December 14, 2010	(878339)
Item 11	March 10, 2011	(900083)
Item 12	June 07, 2011	(919700)
Item 13	March 21, 2012	(983015)
Item 14	March 30, 2012	(976195)
Item 15	June 20, 2012	(1014287)
Item 16	July 25, 2012	(1020320)
Item 17	August 24, 2012	(1013719)

Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

CN600124895 1 Date: 03/20/2012 (981463)

> Classification: Moderate Self Report? NO

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) Citation:

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to prevent unauthorized emissions due to a damaged isolation valve.

2 08/31/2012 (989124) CN600124895 Date:

> Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116,115(c)

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122,143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

SC 1 PERMIT ST&C 13 OP

Failure to prevent and exceedence of the maximum allowable emission rate Description:

(MAER) for Flare 1 (EPN: 16).

(Category B13)

Self Report? NO Classification: Minor

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter D 115.352(4) Citation:

> 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

SC 18E PERMIT

ST&C 1 OP

Failure to prevent open ended lines (OELs) in the 2-propyl heptanol (2PH) Unit. Description:

(Category C10)

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

Minor

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

40 CFR Chapter 60, SubChapter C, PT 60, SubPT A 60.18(c)(3)(ii)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

ST&C 1A OP

Description:

Failure to maintain the minimum net heating value on Flare 1 (EPN: 16) for 13

NO

(Category C4)

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c) 30 TAC Chapter 122, SubChapter B 122.143(4)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b)

SC 5 PERMIT ST&C 13 OP

Description:

Failure to prevent the bypassing of a control device 48 times during the

compliance period. (Category B18)

Environmental audits:

N/A

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program: I.

Early compliance: J.

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
BASF CORPORATION	§	
RN100225689	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-1934-IHW-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On ________, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding BASF Corporation ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 361 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent operates a chemical manufacturing facility at 4403 LaPorte Highway 225 in Pasadena, Harris County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Facility involves or involved the management of industrial hazardous waste as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 361.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about September 3, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Forty-Seven Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$47,700) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Thirty-Eight Thousand One Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$38,160) of the administrative penalty and Nine Thousand Five

Hundred Forty Dollars (\$9,540) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. Removed the Class 1 Polychlorinated Biphenyls ("PCB")-contaminated waste from the unauthorized facility on March 8, 2012 and disposed of it at an authorized facility; and
 - b. Developed standard operating procedures which are to be followed by employees in the disposal of waste, including but not limited to, ensuring proper manifests accompany all shipments of waste on March 5, 2012.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to prevent the disposal of Class 1 waste at an unauthorized facility, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 335.2(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 8, 2012. Specifically, two 25-yard roll-off containers containing Class 1 PCB-contaminated waste above 50 parts per million were disposed of at an unauthorized facility on February 29 and March 2, 2012.
- 2. Failed to manifest Class 1 waste utilizing a correctly completed Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 335.10, as documented during an

BASF Corporation DOCKET NO. 2012-1934-IHW-E Page 3

investigation conducted on June 8, 2012. Specifically, two 25-yard roll-off containers containing Class 1 PCB-contaminated waste were manifested as Class 2 wastes utilizing preprinted Waste Management Non-Hazardous Waste Manifests on February 29 and March 2, 2012.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: BASF Corporation, Docket No. 2012-1934-IHW-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 4. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other

BASF Corporation DOCKET NO. 2012-1934-IHW-E Page 4

means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

5. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	6/5/13 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the at agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein accepting payment for the penalty amount, is material	entity indicated below my signature, and l n. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
 I also understand that failure to comply with the and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may a head of a negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications subtered and the second of this case to the Attorney General additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a linereased penalties in any future enforcement. Automatic referral to the Attorney General's and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law In addition, any falsification of any compliance document. 	result in: omitted; al's Office for contempt, injunctive relief a collection agency; actions; Office of any future enforcement actions;
Signature	2/4/13 Date
ROBERT J. OSTERHOLD Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of BASF Corporation	SITE DIRECTOR Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.